

01  
02  
03  
04  
05  
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
09 Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. CR06-357-JLR  
10 v. )  
11 FREDERICO TITO MORED, ) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.  
12 Defendant. ) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO  
ALLEGED VIOLATIONS  
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE  
13 \_\_\_\_\_ )

14 An initial hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before me  
15 on October 21, 2011. The United States was represented by AUSA Kathryn Frierson and the  
16 defendant by Walter Palmer. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about March 12, 2007 by the Honorable James L.  
18 Robart on a charge of Conspiracy to Commit Identity Theft, and sentenced to 30 months  
19 custody, three years supervised release.

20 The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the  
21 requirements that defendant participate in drug treatment, abstain from alcohol, pay restitution  
22 in the amount of \$35,055, provide his probation officer with access to financial information as

01 requested, be prohibited from incurring new credit charges or opening new lines of credit, and  
02 be prohibited from possessing identity documents in any but his true identity. (Dkt. 40.)

03 On January 29, 2009, defendant was ordered to participate in a mental health program.  
04 (Dkt. 45.) On June 3, 2010, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant had violated  
05 the condition of supervised release by using methamphetamine. Defendant was reprimanded,  
06 referred for professional assessment, and testing frequency was increased. (Dkt. 50.)

07 On February 22, 2011, defendant was sentenced to three months custody, two years  
08 supervised release for violating the conditions of supervised release by using  
09 methamphetamine. (Dkt. 62.) On September 1, 2011, defendant's probation officer reported  
10 that defendant had violated the conditions of supervised release by using methamphetamine.  
11 He was referred for professional assessment to a community based residential program for  
12 intensive outpatient treatment, and testing frequency was increased. (Dkt. 63.)

13 In an application dated October 12, 2011 (Dkt. 64, 65), U.S. Probation Officer Andrew  
14 J. Lorenzen alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release:

15 1. Using methamphetamine on or about September 21 and 30, 2011 in violation of  
16 standard condition 7.

17 2. Using opiates (hydrocodone) on or about September 30, 2011 in violation of  
18 standard condition 7.

19 3. Failing to report for drug testing as directed on September 19, 2011 in violation  
20 of the special condition.

21 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

22 Defendant admitted violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether they

01 occurred. (Dkt. 66.)

02 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as  
03 alleged in violations 1-3, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition.  
04 The next hearing will be set before Judge Robart .

05 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

06 DATED this 21st day of October, 2011.

07  
08   
09 Mary Alice Theiler  
United States Magistrate Judge

10  
11  
12 cc: District Judge: Honorable James L. Robart  
AUSA: Kathryn Frierson  
13 Defendant's attorney: Walter Palmer  
14 Probation officer: Andrew J. Lorenzen  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22